Casimir interaction between spherical and planar plasma sheets

L. P. Teo*
Department of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering,
University of Nottingham Malaysia Campus, Jalan Broga,
43500, Semenyih, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia.

We consider the interaction between a spherical plasma sheet and a planar plasma sheet due to the vacuum fluctuations of electromagnetic fields. We use the mode summation approach to derive the Casimir interaction energy and study its asymptotic behaviors. In the small separation regime, we confirm the proximity force approximation and calculate the first correction beyond the proximity force approximation. This study has potential application to model Casimir interaction between objects made of materials that can be modeled by plasma sheets such as graphene sheets.

PACS numbers: 13.40.-f, 03.70.+k, 12.20.Ds
Keywords: Casimir interaction, sphere-plane configuration, plasma sheet, asymptotic behavior.

arXiv:1403.0314v1 [quant-ph] 3 Mar 2014

I. INTRODUCTION

Due to the potential impact to nanotechnology, the Casimir interactions between objects of nontrivial geometries have been under active research in recent years. Thanks to works done by several groups of researchers [1–14], we have now a formalism to compute the exact functional representation (known as TGTG formula) for the Casimir interaction energy between two objects. Despite the seemingly different approaches taken, all the methods can be regarded as multiple scattering approach, which can also be understood from the point of view of mode summation approach [15–17]. The basic ingredients in the TGTG formula are the scattering matrices of the two objects and the transition matrices that relate the coordinate system of one object to the other. In the case that the objects have certain symmetries that allow separable coordinate system to be employed, one can calculate these matrices explicitly. This has made possible the exact analytic and numerical analysis of the Casimir interaction between a sphere and a plate [18–29], between two spheres [30–32], between a cylinder and a plate [2, 33, 34], between two cylinders [35–38], between a sphere and a cylinder [39, 40], as well as other geometries [41–43].

As is well known, the strength of the Casimir interaction does not only depend on the geometries of the objects, it is also very sensitive to the boundary conditions imposed on the objects. For the past few years, a lot of works have been done in the analysis of the quantum effect on objects with perfect boundary conditions such as Dirichlet, Neumann, perfectly conducting, infinitely permeable, etc. There are also a number of works which consider real materials such as metals modeled by plasma or Drude models [19, 20, 23–25, 27, 29, 31, 38, 40]. In this work, we consider the Casimir interaction between a spherical plasma sheet and a planar plasma sheet. Plasma sheet model was considered in [33, 44–49] to model graphene sheet, describing the π electrons in C_{60} molecule. This model has its own appeal in describing a thin shell of materials that have the same attributes.

In [33], the Casimir interaction between a cylindrical plasma sheet and a planar plasma sheet has been considered. Our work can be considered as a generalization of [33] where we consider a spherical plasma sheet instead of a cylindrical plasma sheet. One of the main objectives of the current work is to derive the TGTG formula for the Casimir interaction energy. As in [33], we are also going to study the asymptotic behaviors of the Casimir interaction in the small separation regimes. We would expect that the leading term of the Casimir interaction coincides with the proximity force approximation (PFA), which we are going to confirm. Another major contribution would be the exact analytic computation of the next-to-leading order term which determines the deviation from PFA.

II. THE CASIMIR INTERACTION ENERGY

In this section, we derive the TGTG formula for the Casimir interaction energy between a spherical plasma sheet and a planar plasma sheet. We follow our approach in [17].

*Electronic address: LeePeng.Teo@nottingham.edu.my

Assume that the spherical plasma sheet is a spherical surface described by $r = R$ in spherical coordinates (r, θ, ϕ), and the planar plasma sheet is located at $z = L$ with dimension $H \times H$. It is assumed that $R < L \ll H$. The center of the spherical shell is the origin O, and the center of the coordinate system about the plane $z = L$ is $O' = (0, 0, L)$.

The electromagnetic field is governed by the Maxwell's equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} &= \frac{\rho_f}{\varepsilon_0}, \qquad & \nabla \times \mathbf{E} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} &= \mathbf{0}, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} &= 0, \qquad & \nabla \times \mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{c^2}\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} &= \mu_0 \mathbf{J}_f. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

The free charge density ρ_f and free current density $\mathbf{J}_f$ are functions having support on the plasma sheets (boundaries). Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a vector potential that satisfies the gauge condition $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0$ and such that

$$\mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t}, \qquad \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}.$$

$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ can be written as a superposition of normal modes $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}, \omega)e^{-i\omega t}$:

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega\, \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}, \omega) e^{-i\omega t}.$$

Maxwell's equations (1) imply that outside the boundaries,

$$\nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}, \omega) = k^2 \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}, \omega), \tag{2}$$

where

$$k = \frac{\omega}{c}.$$

The boundary conditions are given by [44]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_{\parallel}\Big|_{S_+} - \mathbf{E}_{\parallel}\Big|_{S_-} &= \mathbf{0}, \\ \mathbf{B}_n\Big|_{S_+} - \mathbf{B}_n\Big|_{S_-} &= 0, \\ \mathbf{E}_n\Big|_{S_+} - \mathbf{E}_n\Big|_{S_-} &= 2\Omega \frac{c^2}{\omega^2} \nabla_{\parallel} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{\parallel}\Big|_S, \\ \mathbf{B}_{\parallel}\Big|_{S_+} - \mathbf{B}_{\parallel}\Big|_{S_-} &= -2i\Omega \frac{1}{\omega} \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{E}_{\parallel}\Big|_S, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where S is the boundary, S_+ and S_- are respectively the outside and inside of the boundary, $\mathbf{n}$ is a unit vector normal to the boundary, and Ω is a constant characterizing the plasma, having dimension inverse of length.

The solutions of the equation (2) can be divided into transverse electric (TE) waves $\mathbf{A}_\alpha^{\mathrm{TE}}$ and transverse magnetic (TM) waves $\mathbf{A}_\alpha^{\mathrm{TM}}$ parametrized by some parameter α and satisfy

$$\frac{1}{k} \nabla \times \mathbf{A}_\alpha^{\mathrm{TE}} = \mathbf{A}_\alpha^{\mathrm{TM}}, \qquad \frac{1}{k} \nabla \times \mathbf{A}_\alpha^{\mathrm{TM}} = \mathbf{A}_\alpha^{\mathrm{TE}}. \tag{4}$$

Moreover, the waves can be divided into regular waves $\mathbf{A}_\alpha^{\mathrm{TE,reg}}$, $\mathbf{A}_\alpha^{\mathrm{TM,reg}}$ that are regular at the origin of the coordinate system and outgoing waves $\mathbf{A}_\alpha^{\mathrm{TE,out}}$, $\mathbf{A}_\alpha^{\mathrm{TM,out}}$ that decrease to zero rapidly when $\mathbf{x} \to \infty$ and k is replaced by ik.

In rectangular coordinates, the waves are parametrized by $\alpha = \mathbf{k}_\perp = (k_x, k_y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TE,}\atop{\mathrm{out}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}(\mathbf{x}, \omega) =& \frac{1}{k_\perp} e^{ik_x x + ik_y y \mp i\sqrt{k^2 - k_\perp^2}\, z} \left(ik_y \mathbf{e}_x - ik_x \mathbf{e}_y\right), \\ \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TM,}\atop{\mathrm{out}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}(\mathbf{x}, \omega) =& \frac{1}{k k_\perp} e^{ik_x x + ik_y y \mp i\sqrt{k^2 - k_\perp^2}\, z} \left(\pm k_x \sqrt{k^2 - k_\perp^2}\, \mathbf{e}_x \pm k_y \sqrt{k^2 - k_\perp^2}\, \mathbf{e}_y + k_\perp^2 \mathbf{e}_z\right). \end{aligned}$$

Here $k_\perp = \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2}$.

In spherical coordinates, the waves are parametrized by $\alpha = (l, m)$, where $l = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ and $-l \le m \le l$, with

$$\mathbf{A}_{lm}^{\mathrm{TE},*}(\mathbf{x},\omega) = \frac{\mathcal{C}_l^*}{\sqrt{l(l+1)}} f_l^*(kr)\left(\frac{im}{\sin\theta}Y_{lm}(\theta,\phi)\mathbf{e}_\theta - \frac{\partial Y_{lm}(\theta,\phi)}{\partial\theta}\mathbf{e}_\phi\right),$$

$$\mathbf{A}_{lm}^{\mathrm{TM},*}(\mathbf{x},\omega) = \mathcal{C}_l^*\left(\frac{\sqrt{l(l+1)}}{kr}f_l^*(kr)Y_{lm}(\theta,\phi)\mathbf{e}_r + \frac{1}{\sqrt{l(l+1)}}\frac{1}{kr}\frac{d}{dr}\left(rf_l^*(kr)\right)\left[\frac{\partial Y_{lm}(\theta,\phi)}{\partial\theta}\mathbf{e}_\theta + \frac{im}{\sin\theta}Y_{lm}(\theta,\phi)\mathbf{e}_\phi\right]\right).$$

Here $* =$ reg or out, with $f_l^{\mathrm{reg}}(z) = j_l(z)$ and $f_l^{\mathrm{out}}(z) = h_l^{(1)}(z)$, $Y_{lm}(\theta,\phi)$ are the spherical harmonics. The constants $\mathcal{C}_l^{\mathrm{reg}}$ and $\mathcal{C}_l^{\mathrm{out}}$ are chosen so that

$$\mathcal{C}_l^{\mathrm{reg}} j_l(i\zeta) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\zeta}}I_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\zeta), \quad \mathcal{C}_l^{\mathrm{out}} h_l^{(1)}(i\zeta) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\zeta}}K_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\zeta).$$

Now we can derive the dispersion relation for the energy eigenmodes ω of the system. Inside the sphere ($r < R$), express $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ in the spherical coordinate system centered at O:

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x},t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\sum_{m=-l}^{l}\left(A_1^{lm}\mathbf{A}_{lm}^{\mathrm{TE,reg}}(\mathbf{x},\omega) + C_1^{lm}\mathbf{A}_{lm}^{\mathrm{TM,reg}}(\mathbf{x},\omega)\right)e^{-i\omega t}.$$

Outside the plane ($z > L$), express $\mathbf{A}$ in the rectangular coordinate system centered at O':

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}',t) = H^2\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}d\omega\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dk_x}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dk_y}{2\pi}\left(B_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp}\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TE,out}}(\mathbf{x}',\omega) + D_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp}\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TM,out}}(\mathbf{x}',\omega)\right)e^{-i\omega t}.$$

Here $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{L}$, $\mathbf{L} = L\mathbf{e}_z$.

In the region between the sphere and the plane, $\mathbf{A}$ can be represented in two ways: one is in terms of the spherical coordinate system centered at O:

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x},t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}d\omega\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\sum_{m=-l}^{l}\left(a_1^{lm}\mathbf{A}_{lm}^{\mathrm{TE,reg}}(\mathbf{x},\omega) + b_1^{lm}\mathbf{A}_{lm}^{\mathrm{TE,out}}(\mathbf{x},\omega) + c_1^{lm}\mathbf{A}_{lm}^{\mathrm{TM,reg}}(\mathbf{x},\omega) + d_1^{lm}\mathbf{A}_{lm}^{\mathrm{TM,out}}(\mathbf{x},\omega)\right)e^{-i\omega t};$$

and one is in terms of the rectangular coordinate system centered at O':

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}',t) =& H^2\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}d\omega\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dk_x}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dk_y}{2\pi}\\ &\times\left(a_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp}\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TE,reg}}(\mathbf{x}',\omega) + b_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp}\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TE,out}}(\mathbf{x}',\omega) + c_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp}\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TM,reg}}(\mathbf{x}',\omega) + d_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp}\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TM,out}}(\mathbf{x}',\omega)\right)e^{-i\omega t}.\end{aligned}$$

These two representations are related by translation matrices $\mathbb{V}$ and $\mathbb{W}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\begin{pmatrix}\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TE,reg}}(\mathbf{x}',\omega)\\ \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TM,reg}}(\mathbf{x}',\omega)\end{pmatrix} =& \sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\sum_{m=-l}^{l}\begin{pmatrix}V_{lm,\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TE,TE}} & V_{lm,\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TM,TE}}\\ V_{lm,\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TE,TM}} & V_{lm,\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TM,TM}}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\mathbf{A}_{lm}^{\mathrm{TE,reg}}(\mathbf{x},\omega)\\ \mathbf{A}_{lm}^{\mathrm{TM,reg}}(\mathbf{x},\omega)\end{pmatrix}\\ \begin{pmatrix}\mathbf{A}_{lm}^{\mathrm{TE,out}}(\mathbf{x},\omega)\\ \mathbf{A}_{lm}^{\mathrm{TM,out}}(\mathbf{x},\omega)\end{pmatrix} =& H^2\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dk_x}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dk_y}{2\pi}\begin{pmatrix}W_{\mathbf{k}_\perp,lm}^{\mathrm{TE,TE}} & W_{\mathbf{k}_\perp,lm}^{\mathrm{TM,TE}}\\ W_{\mathbf{k}_\perp,lm}^{\mathrm{TE,TM}} & W_{\mathbf{k}_\perp,lm}^{\mathrm{TM,TM}}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TE,out}}(\mathbf{x}',\omega)\\ \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TM,out}}(\mathbf{x}',\omega)\end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\begin{pmatrix}a_1^{lm}\\ c_1^{lm}\end{pmatrix} =& H^2\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dk_x}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dk_y}{2\pi}\begin{pmatrix}V_{lm,\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TE,TE}} & V_{lm,\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TE,TM}}\\ V_{lm,\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TM,TE}} & V_{lm,\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TM,TM}}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}a_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp}\\ c_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp}\end{pmatrix},\\ \begin{pmatrix}b_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp}\\ d_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp}\end{pmatrix} =& \sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\sum_{m=-l}^{l}\begin{pmatrix}W_{\mathbf{k}_\perp,lm}^{\mathrm{TE,TE}} & W_{\mathbf{k}_\perp,lm}^{\mathrm{TE,TM}}\\ W_{\mathbf{k}_\perp,lm}^{\mathrm{TM,TE}} & W_{\mathbf{k}_\perp,lm}^{\mathrm{TM,TM}}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}b_1^{lm}\\ d_1^{lm}\end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

These translation matrices have been derived in [10, 17]. Their components are given by

$$\begin{aligned}V_{lm,\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TE,TE}} = V_{lm,\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TM,TM}} =& -\frac{4\pi i}{\sqrt{l(l+1)}}\frac{\partial Y_{l,-m}(\theta_k,\phi_k)}{\partial\theta_k}e^{i\sqrt{k^2-k_\perp^2}L},\\ V_{lm,\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TE,TM}} = V_{lm,\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TM,TE}} =& \frac{4\pi i}{\sqrt{l(l+1)}}\frac{m}{\sin\theta_k}Y_{l,-m}(\theta_k,\phi_k)e^{i\sqrt{k^2-k_\perp^2}L},\end{aligned}$$

$$W^{\mathrm{TE,TE}}_{\mathbf{k}_\perp,lm} = \frac{i}{H^2\sqrt{l(l+1)}}\frac{\pi^2}{k\sqrt{k^2-k_\perp^2}}\frac{\partial Y_{lm}(\theta_k,\phi_k)}{\partial\theta_k}e^{i\sqrt{k^2-k_\perp^2}L},$$
$$W^{\mathrm{TM,TE}}_{\mathbf{k}_\perp,lm} = \frac{i}{H^2\sqrt{l(l+1)}}\frac{\pi^2}{k\sqrt{k^2-k_\perp^2}}\frac{m}{\sin\theta_k}Y_{lm}(\theta_k,\phi_k)e^{i\sqrt{k^2-k_\perp^2}L}.$$

Here θ_k and ϕ_k are such that $k_\perp = k\sin\theta_k$, $k_x = k_\perp\cos\phi_k$ and $k_y = k_\perp\sin\phi_k$.

Let Ω_s be the parameter characterizing the spherical plasma sheet. Matching the boundary conditions (3) on the sphere gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&a_1^{lm}\mathcal{C}_l^{\mathrm{reg}}j_l(kR) + b_1^{lm}\mathcal{C}_l^{\mathrm{out}}h_l^{(1)}(kR) = A_1^{lm}\mathcal{C}_l^{\mathrm{reg}}j_l(kR),\\
&a_1^{lm}\mathcal{C}_l^{\mathrm{reg}}\Big(j_l(kR)+kRj_l'(kR)\Big) + b_1^{lm}\mathcal{C}_l^{\mathrm{out}}\Big(h_l^{(1)}(kR)+kRh_l^{(1)\prime}(kR)\Big) - A_1^{lm}\mathcal{C}_l^{\mathrm{reg}}\Big(j_l(kR)+kRj_l'(kR)\Big)\\
&\qquad\qquad = 2\Omega_s R A_1^{lm}\mathcal{C}_l^{\mathrm{reg}}j_l(kR),\\
&c_1^{lm}\mathcal{C}_l^{\mathrm{reg}}\Big(j_l(kR)+kRj_l'(kR)\Big) + d_1^{lm}\mathcal{C}_l^{\mathrm{out}}\Big(h_l^{(1)}(kR)+kRh_l^{(1)\prime}(kR)\Big) = C_1^{lm}\mathcal{C}_l^{\mathrm{reg}}\Big(j_l(kR)+kRj_l'(kR)\Big),\\
&c_1^{lm}\mathcal{C}_l^{\mathrm{reg}}j_l(kR) + d_1^{lm}\mathcal{C}_l^{\mathrm{out}}h_l^{(1)}(kR) - C_1^{lm}\mathcal{C}_l^{\mathrm{reg}}j_l(kR) = -\frac{2\Omega_s c^2}{\omega^2 R}C_1^{lm}\mathcal{C}_l^{\mathrm{reg}}\Big(j_l(kR)+kRj_l'(kR)\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Eliminating A^{lm} and C^{lm}, we obtain a relation of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1^{lm}\\ d_1^{lm}\end{pmatrix} = -\mathbb{T}_{lm}\begin{pmatrix} a_1^{lm}\\ c_1^{lm}\end{pmatrix},$$

where $\mathbb{T}_{lm}$ is a diagonal matrix:

$$\mathbb{T}_{lm} = \begin{pmatrix} T_{lm}^{\mathrm{TE}} & 0\\ 0 & T_{lm}^{\mathrm{TM}}\end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{lm}^{\mathrm{TE}}(i\xi) =& \frac{2\Omega_s R I_{l+\frac12}(\kappa R)^2}{1+2\Omega_s R I_{l+\frac12}(\kappa R)K_{l+\frac12}(\kappa R)},\\
T_{lm}^{\mathrm{TM}}(i\xi) =& -\frac{2\Omega_s\left(\frac12 I_{l+\frac12}(\kappa R)+\kappa R I'_{l+\frac12}(\kappa R)\right)^2}{\kappa^2 R - 2\Omega_s\left(\frac12 I_{l+\frac12}(\kappa R)+\kappa R I'_{l+\frac12}(\kappa R)\right)\left(\frac12 K_{l+\frac12}(\kappa R)+\kappa R K'_{l+\frac12}(\kappa R)\right)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Here we have replaced k by $i\kappa$ and ω by $i\xi$.

Denote by Ω_p be the parameter characterizing the planar plasma sheet. Matching the boundary conditions (3) on the plane gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&a_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp} + b_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp} = B_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp},\\
&\sqrt{k^2-k_\perp^2}\left(a_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp} - b_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp} + B_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp}\right) = -2i\Omega_p B_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp},\\
&c_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp} - d_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp} = -D_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp},\\
&c_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp} + d_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp} - D_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp} = \frac{2i\Omega_p c^2}{\omega^2}\sqrt{k^2-k_\perp^2}D_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp}.
\end{aligned}$$

From here, we find that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp}\\ c_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp}\end{pmatrix} = -\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathbf{k}_\perp}\begin{pmatrix} b_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp}\\ d_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp}\end{pmatrix},$$

where $\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathbf{k}_\perp}$ is a diagonal matrix with elements

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{T}_{\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TE}}(i\xi) =& \frac{\Omega_p}{\Omega_p+\sqrt{\kappa^2+k_\perp^2}},\\
\widetilde{T}_{\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TM}}(i\xi) =& -\frac{\Omega_p\sqrt{\kappa^2+k_\perp^2}}{\Omega_p\sqrt{\kappa^2+k_\perp^2}+\kappa^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

The eigenmodes ω are those modes where the boundary conditions give rise to nontrivial solutions of $(A_1^{lm}, C_1^{lm}, B_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp}, D_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp}, a_1^{lm}, b_1^{lm}, c_1^{lm}, d_1^{lm}, a_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp}, b_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp}, c_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp}, d_2^{k_\perp})$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{pmatrix} b_1^{lm} \\ d_1^{lm} \end{pmatrix} =& -\mathbb{T}_{lm} \begin{pmatrix} a_1^{lm} \\ c_1^{lm} \end{pmatrix} \\
=& -\mathbb{T}_{lm} H^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk_x}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk_y}{2\pi} \begin{pmatrix} V_{lm,\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TE,TE}} & V_{lm,\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TE,TM}} \\ V_{lm,\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TM,TE}} & V_{lm,\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TM,TM}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp} \\ c_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp} \end{pmatrix} \\
=& \mathbb{T}_{lm} H^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk_x}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk_y}{2\pi} \begin{pmatrix} V_{lm,\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TE,TE}} & V_{lm,\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TE,TM}} \\ V_{lm,\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TM,TE}} & V_{lm,\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TM,TM}} \end{pmatrix} \widetilde{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathbf{k}_\perp} \begin{pmatrix} b_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp} \\ d_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp} \end{pmatrix} \\
=& \mathbb{T}_{lm} H^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk_x}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk_y}{2\pi} \begin{pmatrix} V_{lm,\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TE,TE}} & V_{lm,\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TE,TM}} \\ V_{lm,\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TM,TE}} & V_{lm,\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TM,TM}} \end{pmatrix} \widetilde{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathbf{k}_\perp} \sum_{l'=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m'=-l'}^{l'} \begin{pmatrix} W_{\mathbf{k}_\perp,l'm'}^{\mathrm{TE,TE}} & W_{\mathbf{k}_\perp,l'm'}^{\mathrm{TE,TM}} \\ W_{\mathbf{k}_\perp,l'm'}^{\mathrm{TM,TE}} & W_{\mathbf{k}_\perp,l'm'}^{\mathrm{TM,TM}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{l'm'} \\ d_1^{l'm'} \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that the matrix $\mathbb{B}$ with (lm) component given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1^{lm} \\ d_1^{lm} \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfies the relation

$$(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{M})\,\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{O},$$

where the $(lm, l'm')$-element of $\mathbb{M}$ is given by

$$M_{lm,l'm'} = \mathbb{T}_{lm} H^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk_x}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk_y}{2\pi} \begin{pmatrix} V_{lm,\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TE,TE}} & V_{lm,\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TE,TM}} \\ V_{lm,\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TM,TE}} & V_{lm,\mathbf{k}_\perp}^{\mathrm{TM,TM}} \end{pmatrix} \widetilde{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathbf{k}_\perp} \begin{pmatrix} W_{\mathbf{k}_\perp,l'm'}^{\mathrm{TE,TE}} & W_{\mathbf{k}_\perp,l'm'}^{\mathrm{TE,TM}} \\ W_{\mathbf{k}_\perp,l'm'}^{\mathrm{TM,TE}} & W_{\mathbf{k}_\perp,l'm'}^{\mathrm{TM,TM}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The condition for nontrivial solution of $\mathbb{B}$ is thus given by

$$\det(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{M}) = 0.$$

Hence, the Casimir interaction energy between the spherical plasma sheet and the planar plasma sheet is

$$E_{\mathrm{Cas}} = \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} d\xi \mathrm{Tr}\, \ln(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{M}(i\xi)) = \frac{\hbar c}{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} d\kappa \mathrm{Tr}\, \ln(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{M}). \tag{7}$$

Set $k_x = k_\perp \cos\theta_k$, $k_y = k_\perp \sin\theta_k$, integrate over θ_k and make a change of variables $k_\perp = \kappa \sinh\theta$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{M}_{lm,l'm'}(i\xi) =& \delta_{m,m'} \frac{(-1)^m \pi}{2} \sqrt{\frac{(2l+1)(2l'+1)}{l(l+1)l'(l'+1)} \frac{(l-m)!(l'-m)!}{(l+m)!(l'+m)!}} \mathbb{T}_{lm} \int_0^{\infty} d\theta \sinh\theta e^{-2\kappa L \cosh\theta} \\
& \times \begin{pmatrix} \sinh\theta P_l^{m\prime}(\cosh\theta) & -\frac{m}{\sinh\theta} P_l^m(\cosh\theta) \\ -\frac{m}{\sinh\theta} P_l^m(\cosh\theta) & \sinh\theta P_l^{m\prime}(\cosh\theta) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\Omega_p}{\Omega_p + \kappa\cosh\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{\Omega_p \cosh\theta}{\Omega_p \cosh\theta + \kappa} \end{pmatrix} \\
& \times \begin{pmatrix} \sinh\theta P_{l'}^{m'\prime}(\cosh\theta) & \frac{m'}{\sinh\theta} P_{l'}^{m'}(\cosh\theta) \\ \frac{m'}{\sinh\theta} P_{l'}^{m'}(\cosh\theta) & \sinh\theta P_{l'}^{m'\prime}(\cosh\theta) \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Here $P_l^m(z)$ is an associated Legendre function and $P_l^{m\prime}(z)$ is its derivative, whereas $\mathbb{T}_{lm}$ is given by (5).

Notice that this approach has been formalized mathematically in [17]. The self energy contributions from the sphere and the plane have automatically dropped out and (7) is the interaction energy between the sphere and the plane.

In the limit $\Omega_s \to \infty$ and $\Omega_p \to \infty$, we find from (5) and (8) that

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{lm}^{\mathrm{TE}}(i\xi) =& \frac{I_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa R)}{K_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa R)}, \\
T_{lm}^{\mathrm{TM}}(i\xi) =& \frac{\frac{1}{2} I_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa R) + \kappa R I'_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa R)}{\frac{1}{2} K_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa R) + \kappa R K'_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa R)},
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

$$\mathbb{M}_{lm,l'm'}(i\xi) =\delta_{m,m'}\frac{(-1)^m\pi}{2}\sqrt{\frac{(2l+1)(2l'+1)}{l(l+1)l'(l'+1)}\frac{(l-m)!(l'-m)!}{(l+m)!(l'+m)!}}\mathbb{T}_{lm}\int_0^\infty d\theta\sinh\theta e^{-2\kappa L\cosh\theta}$$
$$\times\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\0&-1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\sinh\theta P_l^{m\prime}(\cosh\theta) & \dfrac{m}{\sinh\theta}P_l^m(\cosh\theta)\\ \dfrac{m}{\sinh\theta}P_l^m(\cosh\theta) & \sinh\theta P_l^{m\prime}(\cosh\theta)\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\sinh\theta P_{l'}^{m'\prime}(\cosh\theta) & \dfrac{m'}{\sinh\theta}P_{l'}^{m'}(\cosh\theta)\\ \dfrac{m'}{\sinh\theta}P_{l'}^{m'}(\cosh\theta) & \sinh\theta P_{l'}^{m'\prime}(\cosh\theta)\end{pmatrix},$$

which recovers the Casimir interaction energy between a perfectly conducting spherical shell and a perfectly conducting plane [10, 17].

III. SMALL SEPARATION ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR

In this section, we consider the asymptotic behavior of the Casimir interaction energy when $d \ll R$, where $d = L-R$ is the distance between the spherical plasma sheet and the planar plasma sheet. Let

$$\varepsilon = \frac{d}{R}$$

be the dimensionless parameter, and we consider $\varepsilon \ll 1$. There are also another two length parameters in the problem: $1/\Omega_s$ and $1/\Omega_p$. Let

$$\varpi_s = \Omega_s d, \qquad \varpi_p = \Omega_p d.$$

They are dimensionless and we assume that they have order 1, i.e.,

$$\varpi_s \sim 1, \qquad \varpi_p \sim 1.$$

First we consider the proximity force approximation to the Casimir interaction energy, which approximates the Casimir interaction energy by summing the local Casimir energy density between two planes over the surfaces.

The Casimir interaction energy density between two planar plasma sheets with respective parameters Ω_1 and Ω_2 is given by the Lifshitz formula [50]:

$$\mathcal{E}_{\rm Cas}^{\parallel}(d) = \frac{\hbar c}{4\pi^2}\int_0^\infty d\kappa\int_0^\infty dk_\perp k_\perp\left[\ln\left(1-r_{\rm TE}^{(1)}r_{\rm TE}^{(2)}e^{-2d\sqrt{k_\perp^2+\kappa^2}}\right)+\ln\left(1-r_{\rm TM}^{(1)}r_{\rm TM}^{(2)}e^{-2d\sqrt{\kappa^2+k_\perp^2}}\right)\right].$$

Here d is the distance between the two planar sheets,

$$r_{\rm TE}^{(i)} = \frac{\Omega_i}{\Omega_i+\sqrt{\kappa^2+k_\perp^2}},$$
$$r_{\rm TM}^{(i)} = -\frac{\Omega_i\sqrt{\kappa^2+k_\perp^2}}{\Omega_i\sqrt{\kappa^2+k_\perp^2}+\kappa^2}$$

are nothing but the components of the $\mathbb{T}_2^{\mathbf{k}_\perp}$ given in (6).

The proximity force approximation for the Casimir interaction energy between a sphere and a plate is then given by

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{\rm Cas}^{\rm PFA} =& R^2\int_0^{2\pi}d\phi\int_0^\pi d\theta\sin\theta\mathcal{E}_{\rm Cas}^{\parallel}\left(L+R\cos\theta\right)\\
\sim& 2\pi R\int_d^\infty du\mathcal{E}_{\rm Cas}^{\parallel}(u)\\
=&-\frac{\hbar cR}{2\pi}\int_0^\infty d\kappa\int_0^\infty dk_\perp k_\perp\int_d^\infty du\sum_{n=1}^\infty\frac{1}{n}\left(\left[r_{\rm TE}^{(1)}r_{\rm TE}^{(2)}\right]^n+\left[r_{\rm TM}^{(1)}r_{\rm TM}^{(2)}\right]^n\right)e^{-2un\sqrt{\kappa^2+k_\perp^2}}\\
=&-\frac{\hbar cR}{4\pi}\int_0^\infty d\kappa\int_0^\infty dk_\perp\frac{k_\perp}{\sqrt{\kappa^2+k_\perp^2}}\sum_{n=1}^\infty\frac{1}{n^2}\left(\left[r_{\rm TE}^{(1)}r_{\rm TE}^{(2)}\right]^n+\left[r_{\rm TM}^{(1)}r_{\rm TM}^{(2)}\right]^n\right)e^{-2dn\sqrt{\kappa^2+k_\perp^2}}\\
=&-\frac{\hbar cR}{4\pi}\int_0^\infty d\kappa\int_0^\infty dk_\perp\frac{k_\perp}{\sqrt{\kappa^2+k_\perp^2}}\left(\mathrm{Li}_2\left(r_{\rm TE}^{(1)}r_{\rm TE}^{(2)}e^{-2d\sqrt{\kappa^2+k_\perp^2}}\right)+\mathrm{Li}_2\left(r_{\rm TM}^{(1)}r_{\rm TM}^{(2)}e^{-2d\sqrt{\kappa^2+k_\perp^2}}\right)\right)\\
=&-\frac{\hbar cR}{4\pi}\int_0^\infty dq\int_0^q d\kappa\left(\mathrm{Li}_2\left(r_{\rm TE}^{(1)}r_{\rm TE}^{(2)}e^{-2dq}\right)+\mathrm{Li}_2\left(r_{\rm TM}^{(1)}r_{\rm TM}^{(2)}e^{-2dq}\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\mathrm{Li}_2(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{z^n}{n^2}$ is a polylogarithm function of order 2. Making a change of variables $dq=t$ and $\kappa=q\sqrt{1-\tau^2}=t\sqrt{1-\tau^2}/d$, we finally obtain

$$E_{\mathrm{Cas}}^{\mathrm{PFA}}=-\frac{\hbar cR}{4\pi d^2}\int_0^{\infty}dt\,t\int_0^1\frac{d\tau\,\tau}{\sqrt{1-\tau^2}}\left(\mathrm{Li}_2\left(r_{\mathrm{TE}}^{(1)}r_{\mathrm{TE}}^{(2)}e^{-2t}\right)+\mathrm{Li}_2\left(r_{\mathrm{TM}}^{(1)}r_{\mathrm{TM}}^{(2)}e^{-2t}\right)\right),\tag{10}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}r_{\mathrm{TE}}^{(i)}=&\frac{\Omega_i}{\Omega_i+q}=\frac{\varpi_i}{\varpi_i+t},\\ r_{\mathrm{TM}}^{(i)}=&-\frac{\Omega_i q}{\Omega_i q+\kappa^2}=-\frac{\varpi_i}{\varpi_i+t(1-\tau^2)}.\end{aligned}$$

Next, we consider the small separation asymptotic behavior of the Casimir interaction energy up to the next-to-leading order term in ε from the functional representation (7). In [29], we have considered the small separation asymptotic expansion of the Casimir interaction between a magnetodielectric sphere and a magnetodielectric plane. Our present scenario is similar to the one considered in [29]. The major differences are the boundary conditions on the sphere and the plate that are encoded in the two matrices $\mathbb{T}_{lm}$ and $\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathbf{k}_\perp}$. Hence, we do not repeat the calculations that have been presented in [29], but only present the final result and point out the differences.

The leading term and next-to-leading term of the Casimir interaction energy E_{Cas}^0 and E_{Cas}^1 are given respectively by

$$E_{\mathrm{Cas}}^0=-\frac{\hbar cR}{4\pi d^2}\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(s+1)^2}\int_0^{\infty}dt\,t\int_0^1\frac{d\tau\,\tau}{\sqrt{1-\tau^2}}e^{-2t(s+1)}\sum_{*=\mathrm{TE,TM}}\left[T_0^*\widetilde{T}_0^*\right]^{s+1},\tag{11}$$

$$E_{\mathrm{Cas}}^1=-\frac{\hbar c}{4\pi d}\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(s+1)^2}\int_0^{\infty}dt\,t\int_0^1\frac{d\tau\,\tau}{\sqrt{1-\tau^2}}e^{-2t(s+1)}\left\{\sum_{*=\mathrm{TE,TM}}\left[T_0^*\widetilde{T}_0^*\right]^{s+1}(\mathscr{A}+\mathscr{C}^*+\mathscr{D}^*)+\mathscr{B}\right\}.\tag{12}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned}T_0^{\mathrm{TE}}=&\frac{\varpi_s}{\varpi_s+t},\\ T_0^{\mathrm{TM}}=&\frac{\varpi_s}{\varpi_s+t\,(1-\tau^2)},\\ \widetilde{T}_0^{\mathrm{TE}}=&\frac{\varpi_p}{\varpi_p+t},\\ \widetilde{T}_0^{\mathrm{TM}}=&\frac{\varpi_p}{\varpi_p+t\,(1-\tau^2)},\\ \mathscr{A}=&\frac{t\tau^2}{3}\left((s+1)^3+2(s+1)\right)+\frac{1}{3}\left((\tau^2-2)(s+1)^2-3\tau(s+1)+2\tau^2-1\right)\\ &+\frac{\tau^4+\tau^2-12}{12t\tau^2}(s+1)+\frac{(1+\tau)(1-\tau^2)}{2t\tau^2}-\frac{(1-\tau^2)}{3t}\frac{1}{s+1},\\ \mathscr{B}=&\frac{1-\tau^2}{2t\tau^2}\left\{\left(T_0^{\mathrm{TE}}\widetilde{T}_0^{\mathrm{TM}}+T_0^{\mathrm{TM}}\widetilde{T}_0^{\mathrm{TE}}\right)\frac{\left[T_0^{\mathrm{TE}}\widetilde{T}_0^{\mathrm{TE}}\right]^{s+1}-\left[T_0^{\mathrm{TM}}\widetilde{T}_0^{\mathrm{TM}}\right]^{s+1}}{T_0^{\mathrm{TE}}\widetilde{T}_0^{\mathrm{TE}}-T_0^{\mathrm{TM}}\widetilde{T}_0^{\mathrm{TM}}}\right.\\ &\left.+2T_0^{\mathrm{TE}}\widetilde{T}_0^{\mathrm{TE}}T_0^{\mathrm{TM}}\widetilde{T}_0^{\mathrm{TM}}\frac{\left[T_0^{\mathrm{TE}}\widetilde{T}_0^{\mathrm{TE}}\right]^{s}-\left[T_0^{\mathrm{TM}}\widetilde{T}_0^{\mathrm{TM}}\right]^{s}}{T_0^{\mathrm{TE}}\widetilde{T}_0^{\mathrm{TE}}-T_0^{\mathrm{TM}}\widetilde{T}_0^{\mathrm{TM}}}\right\},\\ \mathscr{C}^*=&C_V\mathcal{K}_1^*+C_J\mathcal{W}_1^*,\\ \mathscr{D}^*=&D_{VV}\mathcal{K}_1^{*2}+D_{VJ}\mathcal{K}_1^*\mathcal{W}_1^*+D_{JJ}\mathcal{W}_1^{*2}+D_V\mathcal{K}_2^*+D_J\mathcal{W}_2^*+(s+1)\mathcal{Y}_2^*,\end{aligned}$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_V =& -\frac{\tau}{3}\left((s+1)^3+2(s+1)\right)+\frac{1-\tau^2}{6t\tau}(s+1)^2+\frac{1}{2t}(s+1)+\frac{1-4\tau^2}{12t\tau},\\
C_J =& -\frac{t\tau}{3}\left((s+1)^3-(s+1)\right)+\frac{1}{6\tau}\left((s+1)^2-1\right),\\
D_{VV} =& \frac{1}{12t}\left((s+1)^3-2(s+1)^2+2(s+1)-1\right),\\
D_{JJ} =& \frac{t}{12}\left((s+1)^3-2(s+1)^2-(s+1)+2\right),\\
D_{VJ} =& \frac{1}{6}\left((s+1)^3-(s+1)\right),\\
D_V =& \frac{1}{6t}\left(2(s+1)^2+1\right),\\
D_J =& \frac{t}{3}\left((s+1)^2-1\right),\\
\mathcal{K}_1^{\rm TE} =& -\frac{t\tau}{\varpi_p+t},\\
\mathcal{K}_2^{\rm TE} =& -\frac{t\left(\varpi_p+t\left(1-2\tau^2\right)\right)}{2\left(\varpi_p+t\right)^2},\\
\mathcal{K}_1^{\rm TM} =& \frac{t\left(1-\tau^2\right)}{\varpi_p+t\left(1-\tau^2\right)},\\
\mathcal{K}_2^{\rm TM} =& \frac{t\left(1-\tau^2\right)\left(\varpi_p\left(1-2\tau^2\right)+t\left(1-\tau^2\right)\right)}{2\left(\varpi_p+t\left(1-\tau^2\right)\right)^2},\\
\mathcal{W}_1^{\rm TE} =& -\frac{\tau}{\varpi_s+t},\\
\mathcal{W}_2^{\rm TE} =& -\frac{\left(t(1-3\tau^2)+\varpi_s\left(1-\tau^2\right)\right)}{2t\left(\varpi_s+t\right)^2},\\
\mathcal{Y}_2^{\rm TE} =& -\frac{\tau}{2\left(\varpi_s+t\right)}+\frac{1}{t}\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{5\tau^2}{12}\right),\\
\mathcal{W}_1^{\rm TM} =& \frac{\tau(1-\tau^2)}{\varpi_s+t\left(1-\tau^2\right)},\\
\mathcal{W}_2^{\rm TM} =& \frac{\left(1-\tau^2\right)\left(t(1-\tau^2)^2+\varpi_s(1-3\tau^2)\right)}{2t\left(\varpi_s+t\left(1-\tau^2\right)\right)^2},\\
\mathcal{Y}_2^{\rm TM} =& \frac{\tau\left(1-\tau^2\right)}{2\left(\varpi_s+t\left(1-\tau^2\right)\right)}+\frac{1}{t}\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{7\tau^2}{12}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

We have replaced the l in [29] with $t\tau/\varepsilon$. The definition of $\mathscr{B}$, $\mathscr{D}$, $C_V, C_J, D_{VV}, D_{VJ}, D_{JJ}$ are slightly different than those in [29]. For $*$=TE or TM, $\mathcal{K}_1^*, \mathcal{K}_2^*, \mathcal{W}_1^*, \mathcal{W}_2^*$ and $\mathcal{Y}_2^*$ are obtained from the asymptotic expansions of $\mathbb{T}_{lm}$ and $\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathbf{k}_\perp}$. Hence, there are different than those obtained in [29].

Using polylogarithm function, we can rewrite the leading term $E^0_{\rm Cas}$ (11) as

$$
E^0_{\rm Cas} = -\frac{\hbar c R}{4\pi d^2}\int_0^\infty dt\, t\int_0^1 \frac{d\tau\,\tau}{\sqrt{1-\tau^2}}\left(\mathrm{Li}_2\left(T_0^{\rm TE}\widetilde{T}_0^{\rm TE}e^{-2t}\right)+\mathrm{Li}_2\left(T_0^{\rm TM}\widetilde{T}_0^{\rm TM}e^{-2t}\right)\right). \tag{13}
$$

It is easy to see that this coincides with the proximity force approximation (10) when $\varpi_s=\varpi_1$ and $\varpi_p=\varpi_2$.

Notice that the leading term $E^0_{\rm Cas}$ can be split into a sum of TE and TM contributions. However, because of the $\mathscr{B}$-term, the next-to-leading order term $E^1_{\rm Cas}$ (12) cannot be split into TE and TM contributions.

In the limit $\varpi_p, \varpi_s \to \infty$ which corresponds to perfectly conducting boundary conditions on the sphere and the

plate, we find that for $*$=TE or TM, $\mathcal{K}_1^*, \mathcal{K}_2^*, \mathcal{W}_1^*, \mathcal{W}_2^*$ vanishes, $T_0^* = \widetilde{T}_0^* = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B} =& \frac{(1-\tau^2)}{2t\tau^2}(4s+2), \\
\mathcal{Y}_2^{\rm TE} =& \frac{1}{t}\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{5\tau^2}{12}\right), \\
\mathcal{Y}_2^{\rm TM} =& \frac{1}{t}\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{7\tau^2}{12}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{\rm Cas}^0 =& -\frac{\hbar c R}{2\pi d^2}\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(s+1)^2}\int_0^{\infty} dt\, t\int_0^1 \frac{d\tau\,\tau}{\sqrt{1-\tau^2}} e^{-2t(s+1)} \\
=& -\frac{\hbar c R}{8\pi d^2}\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(s+1)^4} \\
=& -\frac{\hbar c \pi^3 R}{720 d^2}, \\
E_{\rm Cas}^1 =& -\frac{\hbar c}{4\pi d}\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(s+1)^2}\int_0^{\infty} dt\, t\int_0^1 \frac{d\tau\,\tau}{\sqrt{1-\tau^2}} e^{-2t(s+1)}\left(2\mathscr{A} + \mathscr{B} + (s+1)\mathcal{Y}_2^{\rm TE} + (s+1)\mathcal{Y}_2^{\rm TM}\right) \\
=& -\frac{\hbar c}{4\pi d}\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(s+1)^2}\left(\frac{1}{6(s+1)^2} - \frac{2}{3}\right) \\
=& E_{\rm Cas}^0\left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{20}{\pi^2}\right)\frac{d}{R}.
\end{aligned}$$

These recover the results for the case where both the sphere and the plane are perfectly conducting [26].




FIG. 1: The leading order term of the Casimir interaction energy normalized by $E_{\rm Cas}^{\rm PFA,PC}$ (dashed line) and the sum of the leading and next-to-leading order terms normalized by $E_{\rm Cas}^{\rm PFA,PC}$ (solid line) in the case both sphere and plane are graphene sheets.

Next, we consider the special case where we have a spherical graphene sheet in front of a planar graphene sheet. The parameters Ω_s and Ω_p are both equal to $6.75\times10^5 {\rm m}^{-1}$ (see Ref. [50]). Assume that the radius of the spherical graphene sheet is $R = 1$mm. Let

$$E_{\rm Cas}^{\rm PFA,PC} = -\frac{\hbar c \pi^3 R}{720 d^2}$$




FIG. 2: The ratio of the sum of the leading and next-to-leading order terms to the leading order term in the case both sphere and plane are graphene sheets.




FIG. 3: θ as a function of d in the case both sphere and plane are graphene sheets.

be the leading term of the Casimir interaction between a perfectly conducting sphere and a perfectly conducting plane. In Fig. 1, we plot the ratio of the leading term of the Casimir interaction energy $E^0_{\rm Cas}$ to $E^{\rm PFA,PC}_{\rm Cas}$, and the ratio of the sum of the leading term and next-to-leading order term $\left(E^0_{\rm Cas}+E^1_{\rm Cas}\right)$ to $E^{\rm PFA,PC}_{\rm Cas}$. The ratio of $\left(E^0_{\rm Cas}+E^1_{\rm Cas}\right)$ to $E^0_{\rm Cas}$ is plotted in Fig. 2. From these graphs, we can see that the next-to-leading order term plays a significant correction when $d/R\sim 0.1$.

Another important quantity that characterize the correction to proximity force approximation is

$$\theta=\frac{E^1_{\rm Cas}}{E^0_{\rm Cas}}\frac{R}{d},$$

so that

$$E_{\rm Cas}=E^0_{\rm Cas}\left(1+\frac{d}{R}\theta+\ldots\right).$$

In case of perfectly conducting sphere and plane, θ is a pure number given by [26]:

$$\theta=\frac{1}{3}-\frac{20}{\pi^2}=-1.69. \tag{14}$$

In Fig. 3, we plot θ as a function of d for a spherical graphene sheet in front of a planar graphene sheet. We observe that its variation pattern is significantly different from the case of gold sphere and gold plane modeled by plasma model and Drude model which we studied in [29]. Nevertheless, as d is large enough, θ approaches the limiting value (14).




FIG. 4: $E^0_{\rm Cas}/E^{\rm PFA,PC}_{\rm Cas}$ as a function of d.




FIG. 5: $(E^0_{\rm Cas}+E^1_{\rm Cas})/E^{\rm PFA,PC}_{\rm Cas}$ as a function of d.

To study the dependence of the Casimir interaction energy on the parameters Ω_s and Ω_p, we plot in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 respectively the ratio $E^0_{\rm Cas}/E^{\rm PFA,PC}_{\rm Cas}$ and the ratio $(E^0_{\rm Cas}+E^1_{\rm Cas})/E^{\rm PFA,PC}_{\rm Cas}$ as a function of d for various values of Ω_s and Ω_p. The variation of θ is plotted in Fig. 6. It is observe that the larger Ω is, the larger is the Casimir interaction energy.

The behavior of θ shown in Fig. 6 is more interesting. It is observe that it has a minimum which appears at $d\sim\Omega^{-1}$ when $\Omega_s=\Omega_p=\Omega$.




FIG. 6: θ as a function of d.

IV. CONCLUSION

We study the Casimir interaction between a spherical object and a planar object that are made of materials that can be modeled as plasma sheets. The functional representation of the Casimir interaction energy is derived. It is then used to study the small separation asymptotic behavior of the Casimir interaction. The leading term of the Casimir interaction is confirmed to be agreed with the proximity force approximation. The analytic formula for the next-to-leading order term is computed based on a previously established perturbation analysis [29]. The special case where the spherical object and planar object are graphene sheets are considered. The results are found to be quite different from the case of metallic sphere-plane configuration when the separation between the sphere and the plane is small. This may suggest a new experimental setup to test the Casimir effect. It also has potential application to nanotechnology.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the Ministry of Higher Education of Malaysia under FRGS grant FRGS/1/2013/ST02/UNIM/02/2. I would like to thank M. Bordag for proposing this question.

[1] A. Bulgac, P. Magierski and A. Wirzba, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 025007 (2006).
[2] M. Bordag, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 125018 (2006).
[3] A. Lambrecht, P. A. Maia-Neto, and S. Reynaud New Journal of Physics **8**, 243 (2006).
[4] T. Emig, R. L. Jaffe, M. Kadar and A. Scardicchio, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 080403 (2006).
[5] S. J. Rahi, T. Emig, R. L. Jaffe and M. Kardar, Phys. Rev. A **78**, 012104 (2008).
[6] T. Emig, N. Graham, R. L. Jaffe and M. Kardar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 170403 (2007).
[7] T. Emig, N. Graham, R. L. Jaffe and M. Kadar, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 025005 (2008).
[8] T. Emig and R. L. Jaffe, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **41**, 164001 (2008).
[9] T. Emig, J. Stat. Mech. **0804**, P04007 (2008).
[10] S. J. Rahi, T. Emig, N. Graham, R. L. Jaffe and M. Kadar, Phys, Rev. D **80**, 085021 (2009).
[11] O. Kenneth and I. Klich, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 160401 (2006).
[12] O. Kenneth and I. Klich, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 014103 (2008).
[13] K. A. Milton and J. Wagner, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **41**, 155402 (2008).
[14] K. Milton and J. Wagner, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 045005 (2008).
[15] D. A. R. Dalvit, F. C. Lombardo, F. D. Mazzitelli and R. Onofrio, Phys. Rev. A **74**, 020101(R) (2006).
[16] F. D. Mazzitelli, D. A. R. Dalvit and F. C. Lombardo, New. J. Phys. **8**, 240 (2006).
[17] L. P. Teo, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **27**, 1230021 (2012).
[18] M. Bordag and V. Nikolaev, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **41**, 164002 (2008).

[19] A. Canaguier-Durand, P. A. M. Neto, I. Cavero-Pelaez, A. Lambrecht and S. Reynaud, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 230404 (2009).
[20] A. Canaguier-Durand, P. A. M. Neto, A. Lambrecht and S. Reynaud, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 040403 (2010).
[21] M. Bordag and V. Nikolaev, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 065011 (2010).
[22] M. Bordag and I. Pirozhenko, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 085023 (2010).
[23] R. Zandi, T. Emig and U. Mohideen, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 195423 (2010).
[24] A. Canaguier-Durand, P. A. M. Neto, A. Lambrecht and S. Reynaud, Phys. Rev. A **82**, 012511 (2010).
[25] A. Canaguier-Durand, Gérardin, R. Guérout, P. A. M. Neto, V. V. Nesvizhevsky, A. Yu. Voronin, A. Lambrecht and S. Reynaud, Phys. Rev. A **83**, 032508 (2011).
[26] L. P. Teo, M. Bordag and V. Nikolaev, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 125037 (2011).
[27] A. Canaguier-Durand, G. L. Ingold, M. T. Jaekel, A. Lambrecht, P. A. M. Neto and S. Reynaud, Phys. Rev. A **85**, 052501 (2012).
[28] I. G. Pirozhenko and M. Bordag, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 085031 (2013).
[29] L. P. Teo, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 045019 (2013).
[30] S. Zaheer, S. J. Rahi, T. Emig and R. L. Jaffe, Phys. Rev. A **81**, 030502 (2010).
[31] P. Rodriguez-Lopez, Phys. Rev. B **84**, 075431 (2011).
[32] L. P. Teo, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 045027 (2012).
[33] M. Bordag, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 065003 (2007).
[34] L. P. Teo, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 025022 (2011).
[35] F. C. Lombardo, F. D. Mazzitelli and P. I. Villar, J. Phys. A: Math Theor. **41**, 164009 (2008).
[36] L. P. Teo, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 065027 (2011).
[37] P. Rodriguez-Lopez and T. Emig, Phys. Rev. A **85**, 032510 (2012).
[38] V. A. Golyk, M. Krüger, M. T. H. Reid and M. Kardar, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 065011 (2012).
[39] L. P. Teo, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 045021 (2013).
[40] E. Noruzifar, P. Rodriguez-Lopez, T. Emig and R. Zandi, Phys. Rev. A **87**, 042504 (2013).
[41] N. Graham, A. Shpunt, T. Emig, S. J. Rahi, R. L. Jaffe and M. Kardar, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 061701 (2010).
[42] N. Graham, A. Shpunt, T. Emig, S. J. Rahi, R. L. Jaffe and M. Kardar, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 125007 (2011).
[43] N. Graham, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 105004 (2013).
[44] G. Barton, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **37**, 1011 (2004).
[45] G. Barton, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **38**, 2997 (2005).
[46] M. Bordag, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **39**, 6173 (2006).
[47] M. Bordag and N. Khusnutdinov, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 085026 (2008).
[48] N. R. Khusnutdinov, Phys. Rev. B **83**, 115454, 2011.
[49] N. R. Khusnutdinov, J. Phys. A **45**, 265301 (2013).
[50] M. Bordag, B. Geyer, G. L. Klimchitskaya and V. M. Mostepanenko, Phys. Rev. B **74**, 205431 (2006).